Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/844,673	THEKKATH, RADHIKA
	Examiner	Art Unit
	J. Derek Rutten	2192
All Participants:	Status of Application	n: <u>Allowable</u>
(1) <u>J. Derek Rutten</u> .	(3)	
(2) William S. Galliani, Reg. No. 33,885.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 27 September 2006	Time: <u>6:30 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	oplicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: n/a		
Claims discussed: 1, 11, 21, and 22		
Prior art documents discussed: n/a		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GI See Continuation Sheet	ENERAL NATURE OF WHAT	WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ directly resulted in the allowance of the application of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separ did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sum 	 The examiner will provide a rate record of the substance 	written summary of the substance of the interview, since the interview
- p p. = _ p		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Appl	icant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)



Application No. 09/844,673

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: After identifying allowable subject matter, the examiner contacted Mr. Galliani to propose an examiner's amendment in order to move the case to allowance. Mr. Galliani suggested and the examiner agreed to amend the independent claims 1, 11, 21, and 22 to include the limitation of a "configurable synchronization period corresponding to trace memory size".